



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/902,664

07/12/2001

Jin Soo Lee

24286/81101

2101

37803

7590

09/11/2008

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

555 CALIFORNIA STREET

SUITE 2000

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715

EXAMINER

PATEL, DHAIRYA A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2151

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/902,664	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Dhairya A. Patel	Art Unit 2151	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dhairya A. Patel. (3) ____.

(2) Ferenc Pazmandi (Limited Recognition # L0078). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 80,96 and 111.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lawler et al. U.S. Patent # 5,758,259.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment particularly claim 80 in regards w/ Lawler reference. Applicant pointed out the difference between Lawler reference and proposed amendment. Applicant will file a response and examiner agreed to respond accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151
--	---